UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/564,652	01/13/2006	Robert Henri-Marcel Stouffs	19790-005US1	1660
26191 FISH & RICHA	7590 06/30/201 ARDSON P.C.	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 1022	C MINI 55440 1000	BRUNSMAN, DAVID M		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/564,652	STOUFFS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		Bavia W. Brancinan	1788	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 14 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
-	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejectio	n.
have unde set fo may	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( asions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exit 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the surth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). ICE OF APPEAL	f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate nally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AME	NDMENTS	itilit the time period set forth in 37	Of 10 41.57 (a).	
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (so the proposed filed after a final rejection, to the proposed filed after a final rejection in better the proposed filed after a final rejection in the proposed filed after a final rejection, the proposed filed after a filed	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	ΓE below);	
•	appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment ( <b>I</b>	PTOL-324).
5	- '' ''			
6. <u> </u>	non-allowable claim(s).			_
7. 🔀	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to: <u>7</u> .  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5,6,8,10 and 11</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		i pe entered and an ex	cpianation of
AFF	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. 🗀	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. 🗀	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. [	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu it is dependent upon the entry of the unentered amendm	nent.	condition for allowand	ce because:
	☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		/David M Brunsman/		
		Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1793	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The scope of the limitation added raises a question under section 112(2). The only example of the reference which appears to fall within the scope of the instant claims includes a step of concentrating to 60% dry matter between the saccharification step and the chromotography step.

Continuation of 13. Other: Amendment of the claims to require a crystallization step following hydrogenation and excluding an intervening chromatography step (between hydrogenation and crystallization) would be allowable over the prior art of record..